SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 2006 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S147733 E041583 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 MOORE (LEE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review and application for stay denied.

S090216 A086189 First Appellate District, Div. 4 EYSIE (DANIEL) v. ZACSON

CORPORATION

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 29, 2006.

S146835 A112185 First Appellate District, Div. 2 TILTON (WES) ET AL. v. RECLAMATION

DISTRICT NO. 800

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 29, 2006.

S146841 B182101/B187828 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **G.** (AMY) v. W. (M)

Time extended to grant or deny review to December 22, 2006.

S146873 D047888 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 M. (JOHN), IN RE

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 22, 2006.

S146981 B183174 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 SALAWY (ADEL) v. OCEAN TOWERS

HOUSING CORPORATION

Time extended to grant or deny review to December 28, 2006.

HAYES (FRANCES T.) v. CORDOVA **S147004** A109674/A111443 First Appellate District, Div. 4

(ERNEST R.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 29, 2006.

S147033 A112311 First Appellate District, Div. 1 CALIFORNIA CORRECTIONAL PEACE

OFFICERS v. STATE OF CA

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 28, 2006.

S147778 C048615 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. MAESTAS (LENNY R.)

Time extended to grant or deny review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to December 21, 2006, (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 28.2(c).)

Time extended to grant or deny review is hereby extended to December 18, 2006. See. (Cal Rules of Court, rule 28.2(c).)

7-ELEVEN, INC. v. A.B.C.

Transferred to CA 4/3

7-ELEVEN, INC. v. A.B.C.

Transferred to CA 4/3

S147631 7 ELEVEN, INC. v. A.B.C. (JOLLY)

Transferred to CA 4/1

S148003

BELL (HORACE) v. CLARK (KEN)

Transferred to CA 5 for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S120134

MCCANDLESS ON DISCIPLINE

Probation revoked. Good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered that probation is revoked and reinstated for two years on the same terms and conditions as previously imposed in S120134 except as modified as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on August 9, 2006, as modified by its order filed September 12, 2006. In addition, TIMOTHY LEE McCANDLESS, State Bar No. 147715, must be actually suspended from the practice of law for 90 days. The period of actual suspension and probation will commence upon finality of this order. (Rule 953, Cal. Rules of Court.) It is further ordered that TIMOTHY LEE McCANDLESS comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivision (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. *(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S146414

MCCASEY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed. It is ordered that KATHLEEN ELLEN McCASEY, State Bar No. 170303, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for one year on condition that she be actually suspended for 60 days. Kathleen Ellen McCasey is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed June 22, 2006, as modified by its order filed July 31, 2006. It is further ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, this order is effective forthwith.